

1 Matthew L. Grode, Esq.  
2 Nevada State Bar # 6326  
3 Richard E. Haskin, Esq.  
4 Nevada State Bar # 11592  
5 rhaskin@gglts.com  
6 Timothy P. Elson, Esq.  
7 Nevada State Bar # 11559  
8 Gerald A. Griffin, Esq.  
9 *Pro Hac Vice*  
10 California State Bar # 076620  
Victor F. Luke, Esq.  
*Pro Hac Vice*  
California State Bar # 235270  
**GIBBS GIDEN LOCHER TURNER  
SENET & WITTBRODT LLP**  
7450 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 270  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113-4059  
(702) 836-9800  
(702) 836-9802 fax

11 Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-  
12 Plaintiff VISTANA CONDOMINIUM  
OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

16  
17 AMERICAN GENERAL LIFE  
INSURANCE COMPANY,

**Plaintiff,**

V.

VISTANA CONDOMINIUM OWNERS  
ASSOCIATION, PATRICIA ARNOTT AS  
TRUSTEE OF THE NANCY QUON LIFE  
INSURANCE TRUST DATED  
FEBRUARY 10, 2005 and DOES 1-10,

#### Defendants.

**24 VISTANA CONDOMINIUM OWNERS  
ASSOCIATION, INC.,**

### **Counter-Plaintiff,**

V.

27  
28 AMERICAN LIFE INSURANCE  
COMPANY; PATRICIA ARNOTT, as  
TRUSTEE OF THE QUON LIFE

**CASE NO.: 2:12-CV-01324-JAD-NJK**

**ORDER GRANTING**

**VISTANA CONDOMINIUM  
OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC'S  
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO  
FILE FOURTH AMENDED  
COUNTERCLAIM**

1 INSURANCE TRUST; ROES 301 through  
 2 310; STEPHANIE LINGLE AKA  
 3 STEPHANIE A. QUON; JESSICA QUON;  
 4 QUON PROPERTIES, LLC; and ROES 1  
 THROUGH 300;

5 Counter-Defendants.

6 **VISTANA CONDOMINIUM OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC'S MOTION TO**

7 **EXTEND TIME TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM**

8 COMES NOW Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff VISTANA CONDOMINIUM  
 9 OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC. (hereinafter "VISTANA"), by and through its  
 10 counsel of record, Matthew L. Grode, Esq., Richard E. Haskin, Esq., and Timothy  
 11 Elson, Esq., of the law firm GIBBS GIDEN LOCHER TURNER SENET &  
 12 WITTBRODT, LLP, and hereby files its Motion To Extend Time To File Fourth  
 13 Amended Counterclaim.

14 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

15 VISTANA respectfully requests one additional court day to file its Fourth  
 16 Amended Counterclaim in this matter due to issues with the filing system that  
 17 prevented VISTANA from timely complying with this Court's deadline of March 13,  
 18 2015. See Doc. 164. The one additional day to file its Fourth Amended Counterclaim  
 19 will cause no delay or prejudice to any party to this matter.

20 On March 13, 2015, VISTANA attempted to file its Fourth Amended  
 21 Counterclaim, but the federal filing system would not accept the filing. See  
 22 Declaration of Richard Haskin, filed concurrently with the instant Motion. VISTANA  
 23 attempted to contact the help desk, but did not receive any answer. Id. VISTANA is  
 24 uncertain of the exact complication, but VISTANA attempted to timely comply with  
 25 the judicial deadline.

26 On March 3, 2015, this Court conducted a telephone conference at which time  
 27 no party objected to VISTANA filing its Fourth Amended Counterclaim. In fact, the  
 28 parties originally stipulated that VISTANA could file its Fourth Amended

1 Counterclaim. Doc. 158. The stipulation was timely submitted to the Court on  
2 February 23, 2015, which included a copy of the Fourth Amended Counterclaim. See  
3 Doc. 147. There is simply no reason to not allow VISTANA one additional day to file  
4 the Fourth Amended Counterclaim, which everyone agreed should be the controlling  
5 pleading in this action.

6 This Court has a strong policy on having matters heard on the merits. In fact,  
7 this “Court may *sua sponte* or on motion change, dispense with, or waive any of these  
8 Rules if the interests of justice so require.” LR IA 3-1. VISTANA submits that good  
9 cause and excusable neglect exist to extend the time to allow VISTANA to file the  
10 Fourth Amended Counterclaim. VISTANA did not delay in addressing this issue with  
11 the Court, but filed the instant Motion on the very next judicial day. At a minimum,  
12 the interest of justice weigh in favor of VISTANA having this matter heard on the  
13 merits on the Fourth Amended Counterclaim, which was timely submitted to this  
14 Court before the deadline to amend the pleadings via a stipulation.

15 Based on the foregoing, VISTANA respectfully requests one additional judicial  
16 day to file its Fourth Amended Counterclaim.  
17

18 DATED: March 16, 2015

GIBBS GIDEN LOCHER TURNER  
SENET & WITTBRODT LLP

20 By: /s/ Timothy P. Elson

21 Richard E. Haskin, Esq.  
22 Nevada State Bar # 11592  
23 Timothy P. Elson, Esq.  
24 Nevada State Bar # 11559  
25 7450 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 270  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113-4059  
Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff  
VISTANA CONDOMINIUM OWNERS  
ASSOCIATION, INC.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: March 17, 2015

28   
United States Magistrate Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 16th day of March, 2015, the foregoing document entitled: **VISTANA CONDOMINIUM OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM** was served via electronic service through the United States District Court for the District of Nevada's ECF System upon each party in the case who is registered as an electronic case filing user with the Clerk.

An employee of Gibbs, Giden, Locher,  
Turner, Senet & Wittbrodt LLP